Woodland expansion’s influence on belowground carbon and nitrogen in the Great Basin U.S.
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Abstract
Vegetation changes associated with climate shifts and anthropogenic disturbance can have major impacts on biogeochemical cycling and soils. Much of the Great Basin, U.S. is currently dominated by sagebrush (Artemisia tridentate (Ryd.) Boivin) ecosystems. Sagebrush ecosystems are increasingly influenced by pinyon (Pinus monophylla Torr. & Frém and Pinus edulis Engelm.) and juniper (Juniperus osteosperma Torr. and Juniperus occidentalis Hook.) expansion. Some scientists and policy makers believe that increasing woodland cover in the intermountain western U.S. offers the possibility of increased organic carbon (OC) storage on the landscape; however, little is currently known about the distribution of OC on these landscapes, or the role that nitrogen (N) plays in OC retention. We quantified the relationship between tree cover, belowground OC, and total below ground N in expansion woodlands at 13 sites in Utah, Oregon, Idaho, California, and Nevada, USA. One hundred and twenty nine soil cores were taken using a mechanically driven diamond tipped core drill to a depth of 90 cm. Soil, coarse fragments, and coarse roots were analyzed for OC and total N. Woodland expansion in influenced the vertical distribution of root OC by increasing 15–30 cm root OC by 2.6 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) and root N by 0.04 Mg ha\(^{-1}\). Root OC and N increased through the entire profile by 3.8 and 0.06 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) respectively. Woodland expansion influenced the vertical distribution of soil OC by increasing surface soil (0–15 cm) OC by 2.2 Mg ha\(^{-1}\). Woodland expansion also caused a 1.3 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) decrease in coarse fragment associated OC from 75–90 cm. Our data suggests that woodland expansion into sagebrush ecosystems has limited potential to store additional belowground OC, and must be weighed against the risk of increased wildfire and exotic grass invasion.

1. Introduction

Anthropogenic disturbance and its influence on vegetation distributions have had significant influence on biogeochemical cycling and soil properties (Schimel et al., 1991, 1994). Historically and currently the Great Basin U.S. has been dominated by sagebrush (Artemisia tridentate) ecosystems. At intermediate elevations with more mesic climates (mean annual precipitation >20 cm), sagebrush ecosystems are increasingly influenced by pinyon (Pinus monophylla, Pinus edulis) and juniper (Juniperus osteosperma, Juniperus occidentalis) expansion. Pinyon and juniper woodlands have expanded their pre-European settlement range in the Great Basin.
by more than 60% since 1860 due to a combination of climate change, fire suppression, and livestock grazing (Miller and Wigand, 1994; Gruell, 1999; Miller and Rose, 1999). Although pinyon-juniper woodlands have expanded and receded several times over the last 5000 years, the current rate of expansion is unprecedented. Less than 10% of current woodlands are of age classes exceeding 140 years (Miller and Tausch, 2001; Miller et al., 2008).

Some scientists and policy makers hypothesize that woodland expansion could result in large increases in organic carbon (OC) storage within the interior western U.S. (Pacala et al., 2001; Asner et al., 2003; Hibbard et al., 2003; Canadell and Raupach, 2008; McKinley and Blair, 2008). Several recent studies have attempted to quantify the influence of pinyon-juniper woodland expansion on aboveground biomass and OC using plot level data and remote sensing techniques (Strand et al., 2008; Huang et al., 2009). While it is true that increasing tree cover could temporarily increase aboveground biomass and OC storage, much of the OC in these semi-arid systems is contained belowground, primarily as soil OC (Birdsey, 1992; Rau et al., 2010). The aboveground biomass in arid and semi-arid woodlands cannot be considered stable OC storage due to the frequency of wildfire in these systems (15–50 years), and the threat of exotic grass invasion following wildfire (D’Antonio and Vitousek, 1992; Canadell and Raupach, 2008). Therefore, it is imperative to look more closely at how woodland expansion influences belowground OC pools. There have been initial efforts to quantify belowground OC changes in expansion woodlands within the intermountain west, but these efforts have typically focused on microsite (tree canopy vs. inter-canopy) level changes or on single sites (Neff et al., 2009; Rau et al., 2009a; Reiley et al., 2010). This study is an attempt to quantify total belowground OC changes associated with woodland expansion over a broad geographic area, primarily the Great Basin U.S. Also because nitrogen (N) is often limiting in semi-arid systems after water, and because OC and N cycling are so closely linked, it is important to understand N pools within these systems as well (Johnson and Curtis, 2001).

Belowground OC and N pools are influenced by several factors including: depth to bedrock, coarse fragment content of the regolith, particle size distribution, soil bulk density, type of vegetation, root density and chemistry, and climate (Jobbagy and Jackson, 2000). Over periods of time reasonable to human management concerns (50–200 years), the largest change to belowground OC and N pools would be a result of changes in vegetation and the resultant change in root distribution, litterfall, and chemistry of the detritus (Jobbagy and Jackson, 2000, 2001).

We studied 13 woodlands sites spread across the interior west. With the data we collected we attempted to address several questions: (1) does woodland expansion affect belowground storage of OC and total N? (2) Does woodland expansion affect the partitioning of root and soil pools of OC and total N? and (3) What are the primary factors associated with belowground OC and total N retention in transition woodlands?

2. Methods

2.1. Study area

This study is part of a Joint Fire Sciences funded project. The Sagebrush Steppe Treatment Evaluation Project (SageSTEP) was designed to determine the effects of woodland expansion and management level fuel reduction treatments on Sagebrush steppe ecosystems (McIver et al., 2010; www.sagestep.org). Taken together, the 13 woodland sites in the study span a geographic range of more than 800 km moving from northeast to southwest across Oregon, California, Idaho, Nevada, and Utah U.S.A. The sites represent conditions that vary considerably in elevation, topography, soils, and climate (McIver et al., 2010) (Fig. 1). The 9 sites in Oregon, California, Idaho, and Nevada contained 3 core plots (50–200 ha) which had a fuels reduction treatment implemented after sampling. Treatments include cutting and scattering of trees, prescribed fire, and control plots to monitor change over time. The 4 sites in Utah contained 4 core plots with an additional mastication treatment. All sites are representative of expansion woodlands in which less than 10% of the trees established before 1850, and the most rapid rate of expansion occurred between 1920 and 1950 (Miller et al. 2008; McIver et al. 2010). Within each core plot we sampled three 30 × 33 m sub-plots (0.10 ha) which represent a different phase of woodland expansion into sagebrush systems for a total of 129 soil cores (Fig. 1). Phase I plots are shrub and perennial herbaceous species dominated stands. In phase II plots shrubs, perennial herbaceous species, and trees share dominance. Phase III plots are tree dominated stands with limited understory. Basalt lava flows are the dominant parent material in most of northwestern portion of the network, and carbonate parent material dominates the southeastern portion of the study network. Soils within the network are tephric aridisols, mollisols, or entisols, most are sandy loam or silt loam texture, and vary in depth from 15 to > 100 cm (Table 1).

2.2. Sample collection and processing

Sub-plots were established to quantify vegetation cover and biomass at each location (McIver et al., 2010). In order to minimize disturbance to individual sub-plots we sampled soils on the northeast corner of each plot. At each sub-plot a soil core was taken from inter-canopy areas using a power auger retro-fitted with a diamond tipped core bit (Rau et al., 2009b). This device allows workers to core through large rock fragments and coarse roots to bedrock or a similar obstruction. The device also allows workers to estimate the bulk density of each soil increment if accurate depth measurements are taken. This methodology should provide similar estimates of belowground nutrient pools previously thought obtainable only from quantitative soil pits (Hamberg, 1984; Harrison et al., 2003; Johnson et al., 2007). Soil cores were 7.62 cm in diameter and taken in 15 cm increments to a depth of 90 cm or until an impenetrable obstruction was encountered. Soil cores were placed in plastic lined paper bags, returned to the lab and dried at 50°C for 48 h or until their mass no longer changed. Cores were sieved to 2 mm, and the coarse fragment was submerged and agitated in de-ionized water to separate roots from rocks by flotation, break up soil aggregates which did not pass through the sieve, and to remove adhered soil particles from roots and rocks. Roots and water were decanted off of rocks, and passed through a 0.35 mm sieve into a drying tray to separate roots from water. Some < 2 mm sediment remained in with rock samples. The separate root, root, and water fractions were re-dried at 50°C. After drying <2 mm soil which had been adhered to rocks and roots prior to flotation was again separated from rocks using a 2 mm sieve. The sediment which was decanted along with roots, but which passed through the 0.35 mm sieve was removed from the drying tray and added back to the original < 2 mm fraction along with the second fraction separated from rocks. The mass of all three fractions (< 2 mm roots, > 2 mm coarse fragments, and < 2 mm soil) was then determined (Fig. 2). Although time consuming we believe this method maximizes separation of fractions and minimizes the cross contamination between fractions. Each fraction was then ground using an Uldi cyclone™ or IKA impact head™ type mill. Soil and coarse fraction samples were subjected to a test for inorganic carbon using 0.1 M HCl. Samples which tested positive for inorganic C were completely digested with 0.1 M HCl to remove inorganic C (Sollins et al., 1999). Five samples (< 2 mm untreated soil, < 2 mm HCl treated soil, > 2 mm untreated coarse fragments, > 2 mm HCl treated coarse
fragments, and >2 mm roots) for each core sample were analyzed using a LECO Truspec \(^{\text{TM}}\) CN analyzer. For soil and coarse fragment material, the instrument was calibrated using a certified standard containing 1.30% C and 0.130% N; for roots, the instrument was calibrated using EDTA (41.02% C, 9.57% N). The HCl digest method may remove a small amount of OC and total N from the samples which may result in an underestimate of OC % and total N % in our samples (Sollins et al., 1999). Percent OC and total N were multiplied

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Soil classification</th>
<th>Geology</th>
<th>LAT</th>
<th>LONG</th>
<th>Elevation (m)</th>
<th>MAP (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Greenville Bench</td>
<td>Loamy-skeletal, carbonatic, mesic Typic Calcixerolls</td>
<td>Sedimentary and Igneous colluvium and alluvium</td>
<td>38.19911781</td>
<td>112.78630695</td>
<td>1809</td>
<td>341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onaqui</td>
<td>Loamy-skeletal, carbonatic, mesic, shallow Petrocalcic Pahixerolls</td>
<td>Limestone/Sandstone</td>
<td>40.22845024</td>
<td>112.46580100</td>
<td>1681</td>
<td>339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scipio</td>
<td>Loamy-skeletal, mixed, superactive, mesic, shallow Calcic Petrocalcids</td>
<td>Limestone/Sandstone/Volcanics</td>
<td>39.27556121</td>
<td>112.07076752</td>
<td>1653</td>
<td>389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stansbury</td>
<td>Loamy-skeletal, mixed, active, frigid Pahic Haploxerolls</td>
<td>Quarzite residuum and alluvium</td>
<td>40.58223261</td>
<td>112.66391834</td>
<td>1708</td>
<td>410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marking Corral</td>
<td>Loamy-skeletal, mixed, superactive, mesic Argodic Durixerolls</td>
<td>Andesite and Quartz alluvium</td>
<td>39.39504180</td>
<td>115.15653759</td>
<td>2116</td>
<td>329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seven Mile</td>
<td>Loamy-skeletal, mixed, frigid Lithic &amp; Typic Argixerolls</td>
<td>Volcanic residuum and alluvium</td>
<td>39.00439402</td>
<td>116.41220704</td>
<td>2253</td>
<td>253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Ruby Mountain</td>
<td>Loamy, mixed, superactive, mesic, shallow Haplodururidic Durixerolls</td>
<td>Sedimentary and Igneous alluvium</td>
<td>40.05398705</td>
<td>115.65100408</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spruce Mountain</td>
<td>Loamy, mixed, superactive, mesic Haplodururidic Durixerolls</td>
<td>Sedimentary and Igneous alluvium</td>
<td>40.50571010</td>
<td>114.78944879</td>
<td>2119</td>
<td>356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue Mountain</td>
<td>Loamy, mixed, superactive, mesic Lithic Haploxerolls</td>
<td>Basalt residuum</td>
<td>41.84080428</td>
<td>120.87144749</td>
<td>1594</td>
<td>492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridge Creek</td>
<td>Sandy loam, Frigid Typic Haploxerolls to Frigid Torriorthents</td>
<td>Basalt residuum</td>
<td>44.59814725</td>
<td>120.2018828</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Castlehead</td>
<td>Loamy-skeletal, mixed, superactive, frigid Ultic Argixerolls</td>
<td>Basalt residuum</td>
<td>42.44660147</td>
<td>116.75442623</td>
<td>1598</td>
<td>312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Devine Ridge</td>
<td>Loamy-skeletal, mixed, superactive, frigid Lithic Haploxerolls</td>
<td>Basalt residuum</td>
<td>43.70977658</td>
<td>118.95744729</td>
<td>1490</td>
<td>369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walker Butte</td>
<td>Ashy, glassy, frigid Vitritorrandic Durixerolls</td>
<td>Volcanic ash and Loess over Basalt residuum</td>
<td>43.52634039</td>
<td>120.66870226</td>
<td>1421</td>
<td>259</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Location of the 13 woodland sites in the Great Basin, U.S. (Panel A). Generalized sub-plot layout within core plots at each site (Panel B). Sample location at each sub-plot (Panel C).
by each sample fraction’s mass to obtain the mass of OC and total N per core sample. Dividing each fraction’s OC and total N mass by the core volume and multiplying by the sample depth gives the mass of OC and total N per sample per unit area, and the sum of all samples from each core gives total OC and total N per unit area (Fig. 2).

2.3. Statistical analyses

Five variables for belowground OC were analyzed for the influence of woodland expansion, soil depth, and their interactions: soil OC percent, soil OC content, root OC content, coarse fraction OC content, and total belowground OC. Similarly, six variables for total belowground N were analyzed: soil N percent, soil N content, root N content, content coarse fraction N content, total belowground N, and C:N.

All comparisons were evaluated using SAS® generalized linear mixed models (Proc GLIMMIX). Differences in OC and total N were evaluated by treating phase of woodland expansion as main effect, soil depth was a split plot within phase of woodland expansion, and site was a considered a random affect (alpha = 0.05). Means comparisons were made using Tukey’s test (alpha = 0.05).

Stepwise linear regression (SAS® Proc REG) was used to determine the main factors related to total belowground OC (root OC + soil OC + rock OC) retention in expansion woodlands. The main factors included in the analyses were coarse fraction %, sand %, silt %, clay %, total belowground N, mean annual precipitation, mean annual temperature, tree cover, shrub cover, and perennial herbaceous cover. The regression analyses use an iterative process to find the best models for each number of variables utilized by the model. The criteria used to identify the best-fit model are a high adjusted $r^2$ and low values for the Delta Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), and the Delta Bayesian Criterion (BIC).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Carbon

Means comparisons suggested woodland expansion may lead to higher amount of root OC in phase III vs. phase I woodlands (Fig. 3). Root OC was 2.6 Mg ha$^{-1}$ higher in the 15–30 cm depth increment in phase III woodland plots and mimics root distributions of Great Basin plant species (Fig. 4). Perennial grasses, forbs, and shrub species in the Great Basin tend to have roots concentrated in the top 20 cm of soil (Rau et al., 2009b). Pinyon pine and juniper tend to have roots concentrated below 20 cm (Rau et al., 2009b). The increase in roots below 20 cm in phase III woodland plots is indicative of the vegetation transition occurring on the landscape. Increasing tree cover resulted in 3.9 Mg ha$^{-1}$ of additional root OC through the soil profile to 90 cm in our woodland plots (Figs. 3 and 4). Tukey’s tests suggest that increasing tree cover affected the distribution of soil OC within the soil profile (Fig. 4). Phase III woodland plots tended to have higher soil OC concentration and content in the first 15 cm (Fig. 4). The pattern of soil OC redistribution in Phase III woodland plots is consistent with forest soils as described by Jobbagy and Jackson (2000). The mechanism responsible for the increase in soil OC at 0–15 cm is likely the
increased litterfall from trees and the incorporation and redistribution of soil OC into near surface soils. (Richter et al., 1999; Jobbagy and Jackson, 2000). The increased soil OC at 0–15 cm results in an additional 5 Mg ha$^{-1}$ of soil OC in high tree density woodland plots (Fig. 4). The lack of response in soil OC to increases in root OC at 15–30 cm is interesting given that roots and their decomposition are often considered a significant source of soil OC (Schlesinger, 1977). The discontinuity between root OC and soil OC at the 15–30 cm increment may be a result of the persistence of coarse roots, but complete and rapid fine root turnover (Richter et al., 1999). Because the high-density woodlands in our study have been on the landscape for less than 150 years we may not be able to detect small changes in soil OC caused by root inputs.

Means comparisons also suggest woodland expansion decreased OC associated with coarse fragments in the sub-surface 75–90 cm increment by 1.0 Mg ha$^{-1}$ and potentially 2.0 Mg ha$^{-1}$ though the entire soil profile to 90 cm (Fig. 4). It is unclear at this time what would cause this decrease in coarse fragment associated OC in expansion woodlands. In our study we determined that OC associated with coarse fragments contributed 10% of total belowground OC estimates. Researchers have previously documented that coarse fragment OC can account for as much as 20–50% of total belowground OC.

3.2. Nitrogen

Woodland expansion increased total root N by 56 kg ha$^{-1}$ in our phase III plots (Fig. 5). The pattern of root N accumulation is identical to root OC with over 40 kg ha$^{-1}$ of additional root N...
observed at the 15–30 cm depth increment in phase III woodland plots (Fig. 6).

Fig. 7. Means and standard errors by phase of woodland expansion and soil depth increment for the ratio of total soil organic carbon content to total soil nitrogen content.

Also, similar to the distribution of soil OC, soil N typically decreases with depth and does not reflect the increased N found in roots from 15 to 30 cm (Fig. 6). The discontinuity between root and soil pools for N could be due to the same mechanisms described for OC, or to the high demand for N within the soil. Nitrogen may persist in coarse roots and not be available for uptake, but N from fine roots which turnover rapidly may be re-absorbed by plants and incorporated into aboveground biomass along with additional soil N. There is a weak pattern of decreasing soil N with increasing tree cover (Fig. 5), and increasing C:N in phase III woodland plots (Fig. 7). Close inspection of our data indicates that soil N is decreasing in the same depth increments where root OC and N are accumulating. This could be a result of mining of soil N and incorporation of N into above and belowground biomass. Unlike OC there was no increase of N near the soil surface in expansion woodlands (Fig. 6). We believe this further emphasizes that trees are mining the soil for N and incorporating it into aboveground biomass, then depositing high C:N material onto the soil surface. This has been suggested as a mechanism by which woody species invade grass dominated ecosystems (Laungani and Knops, 2009). Analyses of tree, shrub, and herbaceous litter confirmed that tree litter has a higher C:N than shrub and herbaceous litter.

Nitrogen content of the coarse fraction is not typically measured, but may account for 10% of total belowground N estimated to 90 cm on our plots. It appears that this pool could be up to 10 times larger than the amount of N found in root biomass (Fig. 5). The N bound in coarse material could be of several forms: organic and inorganic N which has been incorporated into pores or cracks within the rock surface, organic and inorganic N bound into sedimentary deposits, and inorganic N which has been bound into silicate minerals (Ugolini et al., 1996; Holloway and Dahlgren, 1999; Bohn et al., 2001; Holloway et al., 2001; Corti et al., 2002; Koelle and Hildebrand, 2008). We hypothesize that most of the N associated with coarse fragments is in the form of organic matter which is adhered to the fragment's surface. Even with careful washing of coarse fragments this pool remains quite large. We agree with other researchers that not considering coarse fraction nutrients results in a significant underestimate of belowground pools (Fernandez et al., 1993; Ugolini et al., 1996; Corti et al., 1998; Harrison et al., 2003).

Within the soil profile coarse fraction N increased with depth to 75 cm which is consistent with the pattern of OC and coarse fragment distribution through the soil profile (Fig. 6). Given nitrogen's strong relationship to OC and its likelihood of being a limiting nutrient in soils, pools of OC and N in coarse fragments should not be overlooked (Koelle and Hildebrand, 2008).

### 3.3. Factors related to carbon retention

The stepwise regression analyses suggest that total belowground OC is consistently and positively correlated with total belowground N. This analysis confirms that total belowground N is

---

**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of variables</th>
<th>Variable 1</th>
<th>Variable 2</th>
<th>Variable 3</th>
<th>Variable 4</th>
<th>Variable 5</th>
<th>Variable 6</th>
<th>Variable 7</th>
<th>Variable 8</th>
<th>Variable 9</th>
<th>Variable 10</th>
<th>Variable 11</th>
<th>r-Square</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Depth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Coarse %</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Coarse %</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Coarse %</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.641</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Coarse %</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Coarse %</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Coarse %</td>
<td>Clay %</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Depth</td>
<td>Coarse %</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Coarse %</td>
<td>Sand %</td>
<td>Clay %</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Coarse %</td>
<td>Sand %</td>
<td>Clay %</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Coarse %</td>
<td>Sand %</td>
<td>Clay %</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>MAT</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Coarse %</td>
<td>Sand %</td>
<td>Clay %</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Coarse %</td>
<td>Sand %</td>
<td>Clay %</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>MAT</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Coarse %</td>
<td>Sand %</td>
<td>Clay %</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>MAP</td>
<td>MAT</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Depth</td>
<td>Coarse %</td>
<td>Sand %</td>
<td>Clay %</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>MAP</td>
<td>MAT</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Coarse %</td>
<td>Sand %</td>
<td>Clay %</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>MAP</td>
<td>MAT</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Coarse %</td>
<td>Sand %</td>
<td>Silt %</td>
<td>Clay %</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>MAP</td>
<td>MAT</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Coarse %</td>
<td>Sand %</td>
<td>Silt %</td>
<td>Clay %</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>MAP</td>
<td>MAT</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Depth</td>
<td>Coarse %</td>
<td>Sand %</td>
<td>Silt %</td>
<td>Clay %</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>MAP</td>
<td>MAT</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>Herb</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Soil depth; depth; soil coarse fragment percent, coarse %; soil sand percent, sand %; soil silt percent, silt %; soil clay percent, clay %; total nitrogen, TN; mean annual precipitation, MAP; mean annual temperature, MAT; percent shrub cover, Shrub; percent perennial herbaceous cover, Herb; and percent tree cover, tree.
the single most important factor for belowground OC retention and explains nearly 60% of the variance (Table 2). Vegetation fixes atmospheric CO2 and creates plant parts with C:N of 30:1 or greater. This biomass is subject to microbial decomposition and can eventually become soil OC with mean C:N close to 12:1. The initial chemical composition of the plant material, microbial metabolism, micro-aggregation, and organo-mineral complexation regulates the final C:N of soil OC, but the mean ratio tends not to range far from 12:1 (Lal, 2008; von Lutzow et al., 2008). Therefore, soil OC may not readily accumulate without a concurrent increase in soil N (Lal, 2008).

The regression also indicates there are consistent positive relationships between total belowground OC and soil depth, coarse fragment %, sand %, and clay %. Inclusion of variables which define soil depth and texture explains an additional 3% of the variance (Table 2). It is not surprising that deeper soil profiles store more OC than shallow soil profiles, and it has been well documented that soils with high clay content also store more OC due to the ability of clay particles to form decomposition resistant organo-mineral complexes with soil OC (von Lutzow et al., 2008). It was somewhat surprising to find a positive relationship between total belowground OC and coarse fragment and sand percentage. However, it is plausible that coarse grained soil texture facilitates incorporation of tree litter into surface soils, therefore, increasing soil OC content.

There are additional positive relationships which occur between total belowground OC, tree cover, and mean annual precipitation; inclusions of variables which define vegetation cover and precipitation explain another 3% of the modeled variance (Table 2). These variables are more related to site productivity and indicate that more productive sites within the pinyon-juniper zone have greater potential for OC accumulation. This is somewhat contrasting to evidence presented by Jackson et al. (2002). The regression model indicated consistent negative correlations of total belowground OC with shrub cover and perennial herbaceous cover. Cold desert ecosystems such as the sagebrush steppe typically have very low ratios of aboveground to belowground biomass, and belowground herbaceous biomass is typically a major contributor to belowground OC pools (Jackson et al., 2000). Temperate grasslands often have some of the highest stocks of belowground OC found in any ecosystem (Jackson et al., 2000). Therefore, it is somewhat surprising to see that reduction of herbaceous understory and shrub cover is associated with increasing belowground OC. Resources in semi-arid woodlands are limited, and an increase in woody vegetation cover results in loss of perennial herbaceous and shrub species (Miller and Tausch, 2001). It appears that any reduction in belowground OC caused by the loss of herbaceous species has been offset by the concurrent increased production of belowground biomass and litter from pinyon pine and juniper species. Careful examination of the Jackson et al. (2002) data indicates that woody encroachment does not reduce belowground OC stock until mean annual precipitation (MAP) exceeds 450–500 mm per year (Jackson et al., 2002). Woody encroachment appears to have a more positive influence on belowground OC below 500 mm MAP (Jackson et al., 2002). None of our 13 sites have MAP over 500 mm (Table 1). It is likely that the arid nature of our sites inhibits decomposition; which is favorable to OC accumulation in expansion woodlands to the point where N limitation occurs (Murphy et al., 1998). Finally, there was a consistent negative relationship between belowground OC and mean annual temperature (MAT). Increasing temperature increases microbial activity and facilitates decomposition of organic materials; this relationship is not uncommon and has been documented in other semi-arid woodlands (Schimel et al., 1994).

Pinyon and Juniper woodlands occupy approximately 18 million hectares within the Great Basin U.S. Current estimates suggest that only 20% of current woodlands have reached Phase III, defined as a tree dominated stage with greater than 50% canopy cover and limited understory vegetation (Miller et al., 2008). If woodlands continue to mature and infill at their present rate, than nearly 75% of all woodlands will be entering phase III within 30–50 years (Miller et al., 2008). This shift in tree dominance may have dramatic impacts on ecosystem structure and function, increase the risk of catastrophic fire, and leave the landscape susceptible to exotic invasive species such as Bromus tectorum L. (Miller and Tausch, 2001).

Our data suggests that the transition from low density phase I to high density phase III woodland results in an additional 3.9 Mg ha−1 of root OC and 5.1 Mg ha−1 of soil OC sequestration. However, we must also account for an apparent 2.0 Mg ha−1 decrease in coarse fragment associated OC. When extrapolated out to the 18 million ha range of Great Basin woodlands over the 50 yr period estimated for woodland infill there is potential for 139 kg ha−1 yr−1, or 0.08 Pg of additional total C storage. To put this in perspective C emissions from the burning of fossil fuels in the 1990s resulted in a 3 Pg net annual increase in atmospheric CO2 (Schimel et al., 2001). Our estimates are less than half of what was reported by Neff et al. (2009) who reported approximately 300 kg ha−1 yr−1 for soil OC accumulation in juniper woodlands on the Colorado Plateau U.S. However, they estimated inputs directly under tree canopies, and our data is more representative of inter-canopy sites. We emphasize that our mean estimates cover a broad geographic area and that rates of accumulation or total accumulation potential will be dependent on site specific variables described in the our regression model.

Although outside of the scope of this study, we can hypothesize that additional OC accumulation will occur in expansion woodlands due to the increase in aboveground biomass. Initial estimates suggest that woodland expansion could increase aboveground C by 20–50 Mg ha−1 (Rau unpublished data). This may contribute another 0.2–0.5 Pg C throughout the Great Basin over the next 50 years, or approximately 400–1000 kg ha−1 yr−1. However, this estimate is based on plot level data and is considerably larger than plot level estimates made by Strand et al. (2008) who estimated aboveground OC accumulation rates of 220 kg ha−1 yr−1 for juniper woodlands on the Owyhee Plateau U.S. Strand et al. (2008) also point out that plot level estimates are considerably larger than estimates of landscape level change made via remote sensing. Clearly these differences will need to be resolved.

The increases in above and belowground OC associated with woodland expansion must be viewed with some caution based on the frequency of fire in semi-arid woodlands, the potential for exotic annual grass invasion, and the potential for drought induced tree mortality (Miller and Tausch, 2001; Breshears et al., 2005; Westerling et al., 2006; Hurteau and North, 2009). Once canopy cover reaches 50% expansion woodlands become susceptible to high intensity wildfire and exotic annual grass invasion (Miller and Tausch, 2001). Combustion of trees during fire may release 70% of OC stored in aboveground biomass to the (Rau et al., 2010). It is likely that the majority of remaining dead biomass including roots will decompose and result in further release of CO2 to the atmosphere (Johnson and Curtis, 2001). Similarly, given that much of the soil OC accumulation in expansion woodlands is in the form of non-mineral OC complexes, it is likely that this fraction will decompose and release CO2 following removal of trees (von Lutzow et al., 2008; Neff et al., 2009). Although it has not been widely documented in the Great Basin, as it has been on the Colorado Plateau, the potential for tree mortality from global-change-type drought may result in similar losses in OC as observed from wildfires (Breshears et al., 2005).

The likelihood of exotic annual grass invasion following fire or drought induced mortality could further exacerbate the loss of OC.
from expansion woodlands (Miller and Tausch, 2001). Woodland expansion results in a loss of perennial understory vegetation (Miller and Tausch, 2001). Following fire, ectic annual grasses like cheatgrass are able to establish and dominate a site with compromised understory (Chambers et al., 2007). The conversion of sagebrush steppe or pinion-juniper ecosystems to annual grass dominated systems results in a large loss of above and belowground OC (Bradley et al., 2006; Rau et al., 2011). Annual grass systems dramatically alter fire regimes and are prone to repeatable fire every 2–5 years (D’Antonio and Vitousek, 1992). This dramatically altered fire regime could cause further losses of OC and N from the system resulting in large potentially irreversible changes in C and N cycles (D’Antonio and Vitousek, 1992).

4. Conclusions

Our results indicate that woodland expansion has an influence on the vertical distribution and total pools of belowground soil OC, root OC, and root N, and up to 10% of total belowground OC and N may be found in coarse fragments. Organic carbon accumulation associated with woodland expansion in the Great Basin U.S. has limited potential to offset annual CO2 emissions, and estimates of potential belowground and aboveground OC accumulation in arid woodlands must be viewed with skepticism based on the frequency of fire, the uncertainty in future climate, the loss of native perennial vegetation, and the risk of post wildfire ectic annual grass invasion.
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